

EXHIBIT 25

REDACTED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

)
WAYMO LLC,)

)
)
Plaintiff,)

)
)
vs.) Case No.:

) 3:17-cv-00939-WHA

UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,)

OTTOMOTTO LLC; OTTO TRUCKING)

LLC,)

)
)
Defendants.)

ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY
VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF JAMES HASLIM
San Francisco, California
Tuesday, April 18, 2017
Volume 1

Reported by:

RACHEL FERRIER, CSR No. 6948

Job No. 2597892

PAGES 1 - 112

Page 1

1 Q How did you come to learn of the [REDACTED] design? 09:42:41

2 A We set out and discussed what the LiDAR would be 09:42:44

3 that we should design, and, at that point, through 09:42:52

4 discussions, I must have learned that they were working 09:42:56

5 on this component, [REDACTED] 09:42:57

6 Q And had you seen the use of [REDACTED] a 09:43:03

7 [REDACTED] before joining Otto? 09:43:06

8 A Yes. 09:43:11

9 Q When? 09:43:12

10 A The first comes to mind is my work at Velodyne. 09:43:12

11 They used [REDACTED]

12 [REDACTED] as well. 09:43:20

13 Q And when you say a form of [REDACTED] 09:43:22

14 [REDACTED] -- 09:43:26

15 A Yup. 09:43:26

16 Q -- you are talking about a different [REDACTED] 09:43:27

17 [REDACTED] right? 09:43:28

18 A It was different from the one that was being 09:43:30

19 developed at Otto. It was one of a couple approaches 09:43:33

20 that I've seen, you know, in -- in public or publicly 09:43:36

21 disclosed Internet sources. 09:43:41

22 Q So the [REDACTED] design -- 09:43:42

23 A Mm-hmm. 09:43:42

24 Q -- what -- what kind of a -- just generally, what 09:43:46

25 kind of a LiDAR design was it? 09:43:48